Due Date: 28 December 2012, Friday

NAME:....

*Please leave your homework in my mailbox until 17:30.* Ali Sinan Sertöz STU

STUDENT NO:.....

## Math 503 Complex Analysis – Exam 11

1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL
100	0	0	0	0	100

*Please do not write anything inside the above boxes!* 

Check that there is **1** question on your exam booklet. Write your name on top of every page. Show your work in reasonable detail. A correct answer without proper or too much reasoning may not get any credit.

**Q-1**) Let  $G = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Re } z > 0\}$ , and let F(z) be analytic on G satisfying the following conditions.

- i. F(z+1) = zF(z) for  $z \in G$ .
- ii. |F(z)| is bounded for  $1 \le \operatorname{Re} z \le 2$ .
- iii. F(1) = 1.

Show that  $F(z) = \Gamma(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\Gamma$  is the complex Gamma function.

**Solution:** First note that, using similar arguments as for the Gamma function, F(z) can be extended as a meromorphic function to all of the complex plane with simple poles at the non-positive integers with residues equal to  $\frac{(-1)^n}{n!}$  at z = -n for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Define the function

$$\phi(z) = F(z) - \Gamma(z), \ z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Clearly  $\phi$  is now an entire function.

Next recall that  $|\Gamma(z)|$  is bounded in every finite strip  $0 < a \le \text{Re } z \le b$ . Using this, we conclude that  $|\phi(z)|$  is bounded on the strip  $1 \le \text{Re } z \le 2$ . Now using the functional equation  $\phi(z+1) = z\phi(z)$ , and the fact that  $\phi(1) = 0$ , we see that  $|\phi(z)|$  is bounded on the strip  $0 \le \text{Re } z \le 1$ .

Finally define a new function

$$g(z) = \phi(z)\phi(1-z), \ z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Clearly, g(z) is analytic and is bounded on the strip  $0 \le \text{Re } z \le 1$ . Using the functional equation for  $\phi$  twice, we get easily that

$$g(z+1) = -g(z),$$

which implies both that |g(z)| is bounded in the strip  $0 \le \text{Re } z \le 2$ , and that it is periodic of period 2;

$$g(z+2) = -g(z+1) = g(z).$$

Hence by Liouville's theorem g(z) is constant. But since  $\phi(1) = 0$ , we must also have g(0) = 0, and hence  $g(z) \equiv 0$ . This forces  $\phi(z) \equiv 0$ , which in turn gives

$$F(z) = \Gamma(z),$$

as claimed.