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The following exercises are from Hartshorne's Algebraic Geometry

## I.1. 6

Any nonempty open subset of an irreducible topological space is dense and irreducible. If $Y$ is a subset of a topological space $X$, which is irreducible in its induced topology, then the closure $\bar{Y}$ is also irreducible.

Let $X$ be an irreducible topological space and $Y$ a nonempty open subset. If $Y=X$ then $Y$ is irreducible and dense as claimed. If however $Y \nsubseteq X$, then $X \backslash Y$ is a nonempty closed proper subset of $X$ and we can write $X=\bar{Y} \cup(X \backslash Y)$. Since $X$ is irreducible we must have $X=\bar{Y}$, i.e. $Y$ is dense in $X$. Assume $Y=Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}$ where $Y_{i}$ are closed subsets of $Y$. There are then closed subsets $X_{1}, X_{2}$ of $X$ such that $Y_{i}=Y \cap X_{i}$. If $Y_{i} \varsubsetneqq Y$, then $X_{i} \varsubsetneqq X$ and $X=X_{1} \cup X_{2}$ renders $X$ reducible which is not the case. Hence either $Y_{1}$ or $Y_{2}$ must be equal to $Y$ and $Y$ is irreducible. (This proves the first part of the problem which is Example 1.1.3.)

Next assume that $\bar{Y}$ is not irreducible when $Y$ is irreducible in its induced topology. Then $\bar{Y}=Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}$ where $Y_{i}$ are proper closed subsets of $\bar{Y}$, and we have $Y=\left(Y \cap Y_{1}\right) \cup\left(Y \cap Y_{2}\right)$. Since $Y$ is irreducible one of these subsets is not proper, say $Y=Y \cap Y_{1}$. Then $Y \subset Y_{1}$ and $\bar{Y} \subset Y_{1}$ since $Y_{1}$ is closed but this contradicts the choice of $Y_{1}$ as a proper subset of $\bar{Y}$. Hence $\bar{Y}$ is irreducible. (This second part of the problem is Example 1.1.4.)

## I.1.8

Let $Y$ be an affine variety of dimension $r$ in $\mathbb{A}^{n}$. Let $H$ be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{A}^{n}$, and assume that $Y \nsubseteq H$. Then every irreducible component of $Y \cap H$ has dimension $r-1$. (See (7.1) for a generalization.)

Let $Y$ be the zero set of the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Let $f \in k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be an irreducible polynomial such that $H=Z(f)$. Set $B=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] / \mathfrak{p}$. Let $\bar{f}$ denote the residue of $f$ in $B$. Then $\bar{f}=\bar{f}_{1} \cdots \bar{f}_{s}$ where each $f_{i}$ is a polynomial in $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and $\bar{f}_{i}$ is irreducible in $B$. We have the de-
composition $(f)+\mathfrak{p}=\left[\left(f_{1}\right)+\mathfrak{p}\right] \cdots\left[\left(f_{s}\right)+\mathfrak{p}\right]$ and each irreducible component $Y_{i}$ of $Y \cap H$ is of the form $Z\left(\left(f_{i}\right)+\mathfrak{p}\right)$. The coordinate ring of $Y_{i}$ is $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] /[(f)+\mathfrak{p}]$ and is isomorphic to $B /\left(\bar{f}_{i}\right)$. None of the $\bar{f}_{i}$ is a unit or a zero divisor in $B$, and being irreducible generates a prime ideal. Hence height $\left(\bar{f}_{i}\right)=1$ in $B$, by Theorem I.1.11A. Now invoking Theorem I.1.8A we have height $\left(\bar{f}_{i}\right)+\operatorname{dim} B /\left(\bar{f}_{i}\right)=\operatorname{dim} B$. It follows that $\operatorname{dim} B /\left(\bar{f}_{i}\right)=r-1$ which is the dimension of the irreducible component $Y_{i}$ of $Y \cap H, i=1 \ldots, s$.

## I.1.9

Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be an ideal which can be generated by $r$ elements. Then every irreducible component of $Z(\mathfrak{a})$ has dimension $\geq n-r$.

We will prove this by induction. When $r=1, \mathfrak{a}$ is generated by a single polynomial $f=g_{1} \cdots g_{s}$ where each $g_{i}$ is irreducible in $A$. An irreducible component of $Z(\mathfrak{a})$ is of the form $Z\left(g_{i}\right)$ and is of dimension $n-1$ by Proposition I.1.13.

Now assume that the statement is true for $r-1$. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be generated by $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r-1}$, and $f$. An irreducible component $X$ of $Z(\mathfrak{a})$ is of the form $Y \cap Z(g)$ where $Y$ is an irreducible component of $Z\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r-1}\right)$ and $g$ is an irreducible factor of $f$. Then by the previous exercise (I.1.8), $\operatorname{dim} X=$ $\operatorname{dim} Y-1$. Moreover $\operatorname{dim} Y \geq n-(r-1)$ by the induction hypothesis. Putting these together, we find that $\operatorname{dim} X \geq n-r$ as claimed. This completes the proof by induction.

## I.1.11

Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{3}$ be a curve given parametrically by $x=t^{3}, y=t^{4}, z=t^{5}$. Show that $I(Y)$ is a prime ideal of height 2 in $k[x, y, z]$ which cannot be generated by 2 elements. We say $Y$ is not a local complete intersection -cf. (Ex. 2.17).

Since $t \mapsto\left(t^{3}, t^{4}, t^{5}\right)$ is a homeomorphism of $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ with $Y$, we have that $\operatorname{dim} Y=$ 1. Let $B=k[x, y, z]$ and $\mathfrak{p}=I(Y)$. Then $\operatorname{dim} Y=\operatorname{dim} B / \mathfrak{p}=1$. From the identity (see Theorem 1.8A) height $\mathfrak{p}+\operatorname{dim} B / \mathfrak{p}=\operatorname{dim} B$, we get height $\mathfrak{p}=$ 2 , since clearly $\operatorname{dim} B=3$. By trial and error we find that the polynomials of smallest degree in $\mathfrak{p}$ are all linear combinations of $x z-y^{2}, y z-x^{3}$ and $z^{2}-x^{2} y$. Since these three polynomials are linearly independent, no two of them can generate $\mathfrak{p}$. Hence $I(Y)$ cannot be generated by two elements even though its height is two.

## I.1.12

Give an example of an irreducible polynomial $f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$, whose zero set $Z(f)$ in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2}$ is not irreducible (cf. 1.4.2).

The simplest case is when $f=x^{2}+y^{2}+1$ whose zero set is empty in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2}$ and empty set is not considered irreducible.

A more convincing example can be constructed as follows. Take two real numbers $a$ and $b$, not both zero. Then consider the polynomial $f=\left(x^{2}-\right.$ $\left.a^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(y^{2}-b^{2}\right)^{2}=\left[\left(x^{2}-a^{2}\right)+i\left(y^{2}-b^{2}\right)\right]\left[\left(x^{2}-a^{2}\right)-i\left(y^{2}-b^{2}\right)\right]$. It is straightforward to show that these factors are irreducible in $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$. Since the factorization in $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ is unique, $f$ cannot be factored into real components and is thus $f$ irreducible in $\mathbb{R}[x, y]$. The zero set of $f$ now has two components if $a b=0$ and has four components otherwise.

## I.2.12

The $d$-Uple Embedding. For given $n, d>0$, let $M_{0}, \ldots, M_{N}$ be all the monomials of degree $d$ in the $n+1$ variables $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$, where $N=\binom{n+d}{n}-1$. We define a mapping $\rho_{d}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$ by sending the point $P=\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ to the point $\rho_{d}(P)=\left(M_{0}(a), \ldots, M_{N}(a)\right)$ obtained by substituting the $a_{i}$ in the monomials $M_{j}$. This is called the d-uple embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$. For example, if $n=1, d=2$, then $N=2$, and the image $Y$ of the 2 -uple embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ is a conic.
(a) Let $\theta: k\left[y_{0}, \ldots, y_{N}\right] \rightarrow k\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be the homomorphism defined by sending $y_{i}$ to $M_{i}$, and let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the kernel of $\theta$. Then $\mathfrak{a}$ is a homogeneous prime ideal, and so $Z(\mathfrak{a})$ is a projective variety in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$.
(b) Show that the image of $\rho_{d}$ is exactly $Z(\mathfrak{a})$. (One inclusion is easy. The other will require some calculation.)
(c) Now show that $\rho_{d}$ is a homeomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ onto the projective variety $Z(\mathfrak{a})$.
(d) Show that the twisted cubic curve in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ (Ex. 2.9) is equal to the 3-uple embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, for suitable choice of coordinates.
(a)

Being the kernel of a homomorphism, $\mathfrak{a}$ is prime. We need to show that it is homogeneous. Let $f=f_{0}+\cdots+f_{m} \in \mathfrak{a}$, where each $f_{i}$ is homogeneous of degree $i$ in the variables $y_{0}, \ldots, y_{N}$, and $m=\operatorname{deg} f$. We have $f\left(M_{0}, \ldots, M_{N}\right)=f_{0}\left(M_{0}, \ldots, M_{N}\right)+\cdots+f_{m}\left(M_{0}, \ldots, M_{N}\right)=0$ identically. But each $f_{i}\left(M_{0}, \ldots, M_{N}\right)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $i d$ in the variables $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Since they all have different degrees, they cannot cancel each other and hence they must be all identically zero. This gives $f_{i} \in \mathfrak{a}$, for
$i=0, \ldots, m$, showing that $\mathfrak{a}$ is a homogeneous ideal.
(b)

For this problem we fix an ordering of the monomials of degree $d$ in the variables $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$. The map $\rho_{d}$ is defined with this ordering. In particular if

$$
\rho_{d}(x)=\rho_{d}\left(\left[x_{0}: \cdots: x_{n}\right]\right)=\left[\cdots: x_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{r}}^{j_{r}}: \cdots\right]=y,
$$

then the homogeneous coordinate of $y$ corresponding to the place of $x_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{r}}^{j_{r}}$ will be denoted by $\left\{y \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll}j_{1} & \cdots & j_{r} \\ i_{1} & \cdots & i_{r}\end{array}\right.\right\}$. Here of course the $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r}$ are integers with $0 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{r} \leq n$, and $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \geq 0$ with $j_{1}+\cdots+j_{r}=$ $d$. We will refer to such a collection of indices as admissible.

We want to show that $\rho_{d}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)=Z(\mathfrak{a})$.
If $y=\rho_{d}(x)$ for some $x \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$, then the entries of $y$ are monomials of degree $d$ in the variables $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$, hence any polynomials $f \in \mathfrak{a}$ which vanishes on these monomials will vanish at $y$. This shows that $y \in Z(\mathfrak{a})$, and the inclusion $\rho_{d}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right) \subset Z(\mathfrak{a})$ is easily established.

We will show that there exists a point $c=\left[c_{0}: \cdots: c_{n}\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$ such that

$$
\left\{a \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & \cdots & j_{r} \\
i_{1} & \cdots & i_{r}
\end{array}\right.\right\}=c_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots c_{i_{r}}^{j_{r}},
$$

for all admissible indices.
First observe that for any collection of admissible indices, we have

$$
\left\{y \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & \cdots & j_{r} \\
i_{1} & \cdots & i_{r}
\end{array}\right.\right\}^{d}-\left\{y \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
d \\
i_{1}
\end{array}\right.\right\}^{j_{1}} \cdots\left\{y \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
d \\
i_{r}
\end{array}\right.\right\}^{j_{r}} \in \mathfrak{a} .
$$

Since $a \in Z(\mathfrak{a})$, we must have

$$
\left\{a \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll}
j_{1} & \cdots & j_{r} \\
i_{1} & \cdots & i_{r}
\end{array}\right.\right\}^{d}=\left\{a \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
d \\
i_{1}
\end{array}\right.\right\}^{j_{1}} \cdots\left\{a \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
d \\
i_{r}
\end{array}\right.\right\}^{j_{r}}
$$

so not all of

$$
\left\{a \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
d \\
0
\end{array}\right.\right\}, \ldots,\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a \\
\mid \\
n
\end{array}\right\}
$$

can be zero. After reordering the monomials if necessary and multiplying by a suitable caontant we can assume that

$$
\left\{a \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
d \\
0
\end{array}\right.\right\}=1
$$

We now pick a point $c=\left[c_{0}: \cdots: c_{n}\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$ as follows.

$$
c_{0}=1, \quad c_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{c|cc}
a & \left.\begin{array}{cc}
d-1 & 1 \\
0 & i
\end{array}\right\}, \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n . . . . ~
\end{array}\right.
$$

We will show that

$$
a=\rho_{d}(c),
$$

which will show that $Z(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \rho_{d}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)$ and will finish the proof.
We will show that

$$
\left\{a \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & \cdots & j_{r} \\
i_{1} & \cdots & i_{r}
\end{array}\right.\right\}=c_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots c_{i_{r}}^{j_{r}},
$$

keeping in mind that $c_{0}=1$.
First observe that since

$$
\left\{y \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
d-j & j \\
0 & i
\end{array}\right.\right\}\left\{y \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
d \\
0
\end{array}\right.\right\}^{j-1}-\left\{y \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
d-1 & 1 \\
0 & i
\end{array}\right.\right\}^{j} \in \mathfrak{a}
$$

we must have

$$
\left\{a \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
d-j & j \\
0 & i
\end{array}\right.\right\}=\left\{a \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
d-1 & 1 \\
0 & i
\end{array}\right.\right\}^{j}=c_{i}^{j} .
$$

Moreover, in general we have
$\left\{y \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cccc}j_{1} & j_{2} & \cdots & j_{r} \\ 0 & i_{2} & \cdots & i_{r}\end{array}\right.\right\}\left\{y \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}d \\ 0\end{array}\right.\right\}^{r-j_{1}-1}-\left\{y \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}d-1 & 1 \\ 0 & i_{2}\end{array}\right.\right\}^{j_{2}} \cdots\left\{y \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}d-1 & 1 \\ 0 & i_{r}\end{array}\right.\right\}^{j_{r}} \in \mathfrak{a}$,
and

$$
\left\{y \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & \cdots & j_{r} \\
i_{1} & \cdots & i_{r}
\end{array}\right.\right\}\left\{y \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
d \\
0
\end{array}\right.\right\}^{r-1}-\left\{y \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
d-1 & 1 \\
0 & i_{1}
\end{array}\right.\right\}^{j_{1}} \cdots\left\{y \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
d-1 & 1 \\
0 & i_{r}
\end{array}\right.\right\}^{j_{r}} \in \mathfrak{a} .
$$

It then follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{a \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cccc}
j_{1} & j_{2} & \cdots & j_{r} \\
0 & i_{2} & \cdots & i_{r}
\end{array}\right.\right\}\left\{a \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
d \\
0
\end{array}\right.\right\}^{r-j_{1}-1} & =\left\{a \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
d-1 & 1 \\
0 & i_{2}
\end{array}\right.\right\}^{j_{2}} \cdots\left\{a \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
d-1 & 1 \\
0 & i_{r}
\end{array}\right.\right\}^{j_{r}} \\
& =c_{i_{2}}^{j_{2}} \cdots c_{i_{r}}^{j_{r}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{a \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & \cdots & j_{r} \\
i_{1} & \cdots & i_{r}
\end{array}\right.\right\}\left\{a \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
d \\
0
\end{array}\right.\right\}^{r-1} & =\left\{a \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
d-1 & 1 \\
0 & i_{1}
\end{array}\right.\right\}^{j_{1}} \cdots\left\{a \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
d-1 & 1 \\
0 & i_{r}
\end{array}\right.\right\}^{j_{r}} \\
& =c_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots c_{i_{r}}^{j_{r}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
(c)

We first show that $\rho_{d}$ is one-to-one. Let $c=\left[c_{0}: \cdots: c_{n}\right]$ and $e=\left[e_{0}: \cdots: e_{n}\right]$ are points of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ such that $\rho_{d}(c)=\rho_{d}(e)$. At least one of the homogeneous coordinates $e_{i}$ is different than zero. For convenience of notation assume $e_{0} \neq 0$. Since the images of $c$ and $e$ agree under $\rho_{d}$, we must have $c_{0}^{d}=e_{0}^{d}$ and $c_{0}^{d-1} c_{i}=e_{0}^{d-1} e_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. From $c_{0}^{d}=e_{0}^{d}$, we get $c_{0}=\omega e_{0}$, where $\omega^{d}=1$. From the other equations we get $c_{i}=\omega e_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. This shows that $c=e$ and hence $\rho_{d}$ is one-to-one.

Next we show that $\rho_{d}$ is continuous. In fact if $Z\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)$ is a closed subset of $Z(\mathfrak{a})$, where $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ are homogeneous polynomials in $y_{0}, \ldots, y_{N}$, then $\rho_{d}^{-1}\left(Z\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)\right)=Z\left(f_{1} \circ \rho_{d}, \ldots, f_{m} \circ \rho_{d}\right)$ is closed. Thus $\rho_{d}$ is continuous.

We now have a continuous isomorphism from $\rho_{d}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)$ onto $Z(\mathfrak{a})$. It is well known that a continuous isomorphism is not necessarily a homeomorphism. Therefore we have to check separately if $\rho_{d}$ is a homeomorphism in this case.

What remains to be shown for $\rho_{d}$ to be a homeomorphism is that it maps closed sets to closed sets. Since every ideal in $k\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is finitely generated, every closed set is an intersection of finitely many hypersurfaces. It therefore suffices to show that a hypersurface is mapped under $\rho_{d}$ to a closed set in $Z(\mathfrak{a})$. And for this we need to show that for any homogeneous polynomial $g \in k\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, there corresponds a homogeneous polynomial $G \in k\left[y_{0}, \ldots, y_{N}\right]$ such that $\rho_{d}(Z(g))=Z(\mathfrak{a}) \cap Z(G)$. We now describe a way of obtaining $G$ from $g$.

Let $g \in k\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $m$. Let

$$
w_{t}=x_{0}^{i_{0 t}} x_{1}^{i_{1 t}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n t}}, t=1, \ldots, d
$$

be homogeneous monomials, not necessarily distinct, occurring in $g$ with nonzero coefficients, where $i_{0 t}, \ldots, i_{n t}$ are non-negative integers with $i_{0 t}+\cdots+$
$i_{n t}=m$ for $t=1, \ldots, d$. A typical monomial occurring in the polynomial $g^{d}$ is of the form

$$
w=w_{1} \cdots w_{d}=x^{i_{01}+\cdots+i_{0 d}} \cdots x^{i_{n 1}+\cdots+i_{n d}} .
$$

For $s=0, \ldots, n$, let

$$
i_{s 1}+\cdots+i_{s d}=u_{s} d+v_{s}
$$

where $0 \leq u_{s}$ and $0 \leq v_{s}<d$. Then we can write

$$
w=\left(x_{0}^{d}\right)^{u_{0}} \cdots\left(x_{n}^{d}\right)^{u_{n}}\left(x_{0}^{v_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{v_{n}}\right)
$$

Since the degree of the monomial $w$ is $d m$, we see that $v_{0}+\cdots+v_{n}=\ell d$, where $\ell \geq 0$ is a non-negative integer. Since each $v_{s}<d$, we have that $0 \leq \ell \leq n$. Therefore there exist integers $0 \leq j_{0}<\cdots<j_{\ell} \leq n$ such that we can write

$$
v_{j_{e}}=v_{j_{e}}^{\prime}+v_{j_{e}}^{\prime \prime}, e=0, \ldots, \ell
$$

in such a way that

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{0}+\cdots+v_{n} & =\left(v_{0}+\cdots+v_{j_{0}-1}+v_{j_{0}}^{\prime}\right)+\left(v_{j_{0}}^{\prime \prime}+v_{j_{0}+1}+\cdots+v_{j_{1}-1}+v_{j_{1}}^{\prime}\right)+ \\
& \cdots+\left(v_{j_{\ell}}^{\prime \prime}+v_{j_{\ell}+1}+\cdots+v_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

in such a way that each parenthesis adds up to $d$. Then

$$
x_{0}^{v_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{v_{n}}=\left(x_{0}^{v_{0}} \cdots x_{j_{0}}^{v_{j_{0}}^{\prime}}\right) \cdots\left(x_{j_{\ell}}^{v_{j_{\ell}}^{\prime \prime}} \cdots x_{n}^{v_{n}}\right) .
$$

This proves that the monomial $w$ of degree $d m$ can be written as a product of monomials of degree $d$ in the variables $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$. In fact let $M_{0}, \ldots, M_{N}$ be a list of monomials of degree $d$ in $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$, and let $\phi$ be the map

$$
\phi: k\left[M_{0}, \ldots, M_{N}\right] \rightarrow k\left[y_{0}, \ldots, y_{N}\right]
$$

sending each $M_{i}$ to $y_{i}$, then $\phi(w)$ is a monomial of degree $m$ in the variables $y_{0}, \ldots, y_{N}$. Define the polynomial $G$ as

$$
G\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{N}\right)=\phi\left(g^{d}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in k\left[y_{0}, \ldots, y_{N}\right],\right.
$$

where the above process of writing $g^{d}$ as a polynomial in $M_{i}$ is understood, before $\phi$ is applied. Then $G$ is uniquely defined and is homogeneous of degree $m$.

It is now clear from the description of the maps that for any point $a=\left[a_{0}\right.$ : $\left.\cdots: a_{n}\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$,

$$
G\left(\rho_{d}(a)\right)=\phi\left(g^{d}(a)\right) .
$$

It follows from this that $a \in Z(g)$ if and only if $\rho_{d}(a) \in Z(G) \cap Z(\mathfrak{a})$. This shows that the closed set $Z(g)$ is mapped onto the closed set $Z(G) \cap Z(\mathfrak{a})$, which completes the proof that $\rho_{d}$ is a closed map.

Thus $\rho_{d}$ is a homeomorphism from $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ onto $Z(\mathfrak{a})$
(d)

Let $[s: t]$ and $\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right]$ be the homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ respectively. Let $\rho_{3}([s: t])=\left[s^{3}: s^{2} t: s^{2} t^{2}: s t^{3}: t^{3}\right]$, and $\phi: U \subset \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ be given as usual by $\phi([s: t])=t / s$. Then $Y=\rho_{3} \circ \phi^{-1}(t)=\left[1: t: t^{2}: t^{3}\right]$ is an embedding of $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ into $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ such that $\bar{Y}$ is the twisted cubic. Note that $Y$ also coincides with $\rho_{3}(U)$. The only point in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ not in $U$ is $[0: 1]$ which maps under $\rho_{3}$ to $[0: 0: 0: 1]$. Since $\rho_{3}$ is an isomorphism onto its image, the closure of $\rho_{3}(U)$ contains only this extra point. Hence $\bar{Y}$ is $\rho_{3}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$.

## I.2.14

The Segre Embedding. Let $\psi: \mathbb{P}^{r} \times \mathbb{P}^{s} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be the map defined by sending the ordered pair $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \times\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{s}\right)$ to $\left(\ldots, a_{i} b_{j}, \ldots\right)$ in lexicographic order, where $N=r s+r+s$. Note that $\psi$ is well-defined and injective. It is called the Segre embedding. Show that the image of $\psi$ is a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$. [Hint: Let the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ be $\left\{z_{i j} \mid i=0, \ldots, r, j=0, \ldots, s\right\}$, and let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the kernel of the homomorphism $k\left[\left\{z_{i j}\right\}\right] \rightarrow k\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{r}, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{s}\right]$ which sends $z_{i j}$ to $x_{i} y_{j}$. Then show that $\operatorname{Im} \psi=Z(\mathfrak{a})$.]

That $\psi$ is well defined is immediate since if $a_{i} \neq 0$ and $b_{j} \neq 0$, then $z_{i j}=x_{i} y_{j} \neq 0$. Moreover if $\psi\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \times\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{s}\right)=\left(\ldots, z_{i j}, \ldots\right)$, then $\psi\left(\lambda a_{0}, \ldots, \lambda a_{r}\right) \times\left(\mu b_{0}, \ldots, \mu b_{s}\right)=\left(\ldots, \lambda \mu a_{i} b_{j}, \ldots\right)$. Hence $\psi$ gives the same point in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ independent of which representative is used for the point $a \times b \in \mathbb{P}^{r} \times \mathbb{P}^{s}$.

To show injectivity, assume $\psi(a \times b)=\psi\left(a^{\prime} \times b^{\prime}\right)$. Then for some $i, j$, we have $a_{i} \neq 0$ and $b_{j} \neq 0$. Since $z_{i j}=z_{i j}^{\prime}$, we have $a_{i} b_{j}=a_{i}^{\prime} b_{j}^{\prime}$, hence $a_{i}^{\prime} \neq 0$ and $b_{j}^{\prime} \neq 0$. Without loss of generality assume then that $a_{i}=b_{j}=a_{i}^{\prime}=b_{j}^{\prime}=1$. We have $z_{u j}=a_{u}$ and $z_{u j}^{\prime}=a_{u}^{\prime}$ for $u=0, \ldots, r$. Hence, $a=a^{\prime}$. Similarly, exploiting the fact that $z_{i v}=z_{i v}^{\prime}$ for $v=0, \ldots, s$ gives $b=b^{\prime}$. Thus $\psi$ is injective.

Now we show that $\operatorname{Im} \psi=Z(\mathfrak{a})$.
Let $p=\left(\ldots, p_{i j}, \ldots\right) \in \operatorname{Im} \psi$. Since $p_{i j}=x_{i} y_{j}$ for some $x=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{r}$ and some $y=\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{s}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{s}$, for every $f \in \mathfrak{a}$, we have $f(p)=0$. Hence $p \in Z(\mathfrak{a})$.

Conversely, assume that $p=\left(\ldots, p_{i j}, \ldots\right) \in Z(\mathfrak{a})$. Without loss of generality assume that $p_{00}=1$. Since the polynomial $z_{00} z_{u v}-z_{u 0} z_{0 v}$ is in $\mathfrak{a}$, we have $p_{u v}=p_{u 0} p_{0 v}$ for all $u=0, \ldots, r$ and $v=0, \ldots, s$. Let $a=\left(1, p_{10}, \ldots, p_{r 0}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ and $b=\left(1, p_{01}, \ldots, p_{0 s}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{s}$. Then $p=\psi(a \times b)$, hence $p \in \operatorname{Im} \psi$.

Thus we get $\operatorname{Im} \psi=Z(\mathfrak{a})$.
Remark: We now prove that $\mathfrak{a}$ is generated by all polynomials of the form $z_{i_{1} j_{1}} z_{i_{2} j_{2}}-z_{i_{1} j_{2}} z_{i_{2} j_{1}}$, where $i_{1}, i_{2}$ run through 0 to $r$, and $j_{1}, j_{2}$ run through 0 to $s$. Denote this ideal by $J$. We will show that $J=\mathfrak{a}$. Clearly $J \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$.

We want to show that $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq J$. For this, pick any homogeneous polynomial $f$ in $\mathfrak{a}$. Let $M=z_{i_{1} j_{1}} \cdots z_{i_{n} j_{n}}$ be a homogeneous form of degree $n$ appearing in $f$ with some non-zero constant coefficient.

Since $f$ vanishes on every point of $\operatorname{Im} \Psi$, there must be another homogeneous form $M^{\prime}$ appearing in $f$ with the same coefficient multiplied by -1 such that if $M^{\prime}=z_{u_{1} v_{1}} \cdots z_{u_{n} v_{n}}$, then $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right\}=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n}\right\}=$ $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$.

We know claim that $M-M^{\prime}$ is in $J$. We do this by induction on the degree $n$.

If $n=2$, the statement is trivial.
Assume $n>2$. Both $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ evaluated on $\operatorname{Im} \psi$ will be equal to

$$
x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{n}} y_{j_{1}} \cdots y_{j_{n}}
$$

In particular $x_{u_{n}}$ and $y_{v_{n}}$ are in this list, corresponding to $z_{u_{n} v_{n}}$ term of $M^{\prime}$. So $M$ has at least two terms of the form $z_{u_{n} j}, z_{i v_{n}}$ for some $i$ and $j$. Without loss of generality assume that they are $z_{i_{n-1} j_{n-1}}$ and $z_{i_{n} j_{n}}$. i.e. assume that $u_{n}=i_{n-1}$ and $v_{n}=j_{n}$.

Then we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
M-M^{\prime}= & \left(z_{i_{1} j_{1}} \cdots z_{i_{n-2} j_{n-2}}\right)[z_{i_{n-1} j_{n-1}} z_{i_{n} j_{n}}-z_{i_{n} j_{n-1}} \overbrace{z_{i_{n-1} j_{n}}}^{z_{u_{n} v_{n}}}] \\
& -\left(z_{i_{1} j_{1}} \cdots z_{i_{n-2} j_{n-2}} z_{i_{n} j_{n-1}}-z_{u_{1} v_{1}} \cdots z_{u_{n-1} v_{n-1}}\right) z_{u_{n} v_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now check that the term inside the parenthesis on the second line is homogeneous of degree $n-1$ and satisfies the conditions about $x_{i}$ and $y_{j}$ as $M-M^{\prime}$ satisfies. By induction hypothesis, it is inside $J$. It is now clear that $M-M^{\prime} \in J$. This shows that $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq J$ and concludes the proof that they are equal.

## I. 2.16

(a) The intersection of two varieties need not be a variety. For example, let $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ be the quadric surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ given by the equations $x^{2}-y w=0$ and $x y-z w=0$, respectively. Show that $Q_{1} \cap Q_{2}$ is the union of a twisted cubic and a line.
(b) Even if the intersection of two varieties is a variety, the ideal of the intersection may not be the sum of the ideals. For example, let $C$ be the conic in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ given by the equation $x^{2}-y z=0$. Let $L$ be the line given by $y=0$. Show that $C \cap L$ consists of one point $P$, but that $I(C)+I(L) \neq I(P)$.
(a)

Let $[w: x: y: z]$ be the homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$.
If $x=0$ then either $y=0$ or $w=0$. In the subcase $y=0$, we get the points $[1: 0: 0: 0]$ and $[0: 0: 0: 1]$. In the subcase $w=0$, we get the line $[0: 0: y: z]$ where $[y: z] \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$.

If $x \neq 0$, then $w y z \neq 0$. Without loss of generality we assume that $w=1$ and get the solution space $\left[1: x: x^{2}: x^{3}\right]$ where $x \in k$, which is the twisted cubic in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ together with the point $[0: 0: 0: 1]$.
(b)

The intersection of $C$ and $L$ is easily seen to be the point $P=[0: 0: 1]$ if $[x: y: z]$ denotes the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. It is also clear that $I(P)=(x, y)$. But $I(C)+I(L)=\left(x^{2}-y z, y\right)=\left(x^{2}, y\right) \varsubsetneqq(x, y)=I(P)$.

## I.2.17

Complete Intersections. A variety $Y$ of dimension $r$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ is (strict) complete intersection if $I(Y)$ can be generated by $n-r$ elements. $Y$ is a set-theoretic complete intersection if $Y$ can be written as the intersection of $n-r$ hypersurfaces.
(a) Let $Y$ be a variety in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, let $Y=Z(\mathfrak{a})$; and suppose that $\mathfrak{a}$ can be generated by $q$ elements. Then show that $\operatorname{dim} Y \geq n-q$.
(b) Show that a strict complete intersection is a set-theoretic complete intersection.
*(c) The converse of (b) is false. For example let $Y$ be the twisted cubic curve in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ (EX. 2.9). Show that $I(Y)$ cannot be generated by two elements. On the other hand, find hypersurfaces $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ of degrees 2,3 respectively, such that $Y=H_{1} \cap H_{2}$.
**(d) It is an unsolved problem whether every closed irreducible curve in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ is a set-theoretic intersection of two surfaces. See Hartshorne [1] and Hartshorne [5, III. §5] for commentary.
(a)

We will prove this by induction on $q$. When $q=1$, this is the content of Ex. I.2.8.

Assume true for $q-1$. And let $f_{q}$ be an irreducible polynomial not in $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{q-1}\right)$. Let $X=Z\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{q-1}\right)$ and $Y=Z\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{q}\right)$, and let $S(X)=k\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] /\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{q-1}\right)$ and $S(Y)=k\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] /\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{q}\right)$ be the corresponding projective coordinate rings.

From Ex. I.2.6 we know that $\operatorname{dim} S(X)=\operatorname{dim} X+1$ and $\operatorname{dim} S(Y)=\operatorname{dim} Y+$ 1. By induction hypothesis, we have $\operatorname{dim} S(X)=\operatorname{dim} X+1 \geq[n-(q-1)]+1$. We will show that $\operatorname{dim} S(Y) \geq[n-q]+1$.

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the prime ideal in $S(X)$ generated by $f_{q}$. Note that we have

$$
S(Y)=S(X) / \mathfrak{p}
$$

By Theorem I.8A.b applied to the right hand side of this equation, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} S(Y)=\operatorname{dim} S(X)-\text { height } \mathfrak{p}
$$

By Theorem I.1.11A, height $\mathfrak{p}=1$. Putting in this with the induction hypothesis that $\operatorname{dim} S(X) \geq[n-(q-1)]+1$, we get

$$
\operatorname{dim} S(Y) \geq n-q+1
$$

as required.
(b)

Let $Y$ be a strict complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimension $r$. Then $I(Y)=$ $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-r}\right)$ where each $f_{i}$ is a homogeneous polynomial in the variables $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Let $H_{i}=Z\left(f_{i}\right)$ be the hypersurface defined by $f_{i}$, for $i=$ $1, \ldots, n-r$. We then have

$$
Y \subset H_{1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{n-r}
$$

Conversely, let $p$ be a point of the intersection of the hypersurfaces. Then $f_{i}(p)=0$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n-r$. This says that $p \in Y$. Hence $Y$ is the set theoretic intersection of the hypersurfaces $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{n-r}$.
(c)

Let $Y \in \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the twisted cubic parametrized by

$$
[s: t] \mapsto\left[s^{3}: s^{2} t: s t^{2}: t^{3}\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{3}
$$

where $[s: t] \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Using $\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{3}$ as the homogeneous coordinates, set

$$
f_{1}=x_{0} x_{2}-x_{1}^{2}, \quad f_{2}=x_{1} x_{3}-x_{2}^{2}, \quad f_{3}=x_{0} x_{3}-x_{1} x_{2}
$$

It is easy to show that

$$
I(Y)=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)
$$

Moreover let

$$
\phi=x_{3} f_{3}-x_{2} f_{2}
$$

It is also straightforward to see that

$$
Y=Z\left(f_{1}\right) \cap Z(\phi)
$$

But

$$
I(Y) \supsetneq I\left(f_{1}, \phi\right)
$$

since $f_{2}$ is not an element of $I\left(f_{1}, \phi\right)$. (Check however that $f_{2}^{2}=x_{2} \phi-x_{3}^{2} f_{1}$ and so belongs to $I\left(f_{1}, \phi\right)$.)
(d)

This problem is still unsolved at the time of this writing, 2016.

## I.3.8

Let $H_{i}$ and $H_{j}$ be hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ defined by $x_{i}=0$ and $x_{j}=0$, with $i \neq j$. Show that any regular function on $\mathbb{P}^{n}-\left(H_{i} \cap H_{j}\right)$ is constant. (This gives an alternate proof of (3.4a) in the case $Y=\mathbb{P}^{n}$.)

Let $\phi$ be a regular function on $\mathbb{P}^{n}-\left(H_{i} \cap H_{j}\right)$. Restricting $\phi$ to $\mathbb{P}^{n}-H_{i}$ we get a regular function there. Bur regular functions on $\mathbb{P}^{n}-H_{i}$ are of the form $\frac{f}{x_{i}^{m}}$ where $f$ is a homogeneous polynomial in $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of degree $m \geq 0$, and $x_{i} \Lambda f$. Similarly restricting $\phi$ to $\mathbb{P}^{n}-H_{j}$ we get a regular function of the form $\frac{g}{x_{j}^{r}}$ where $g$ is homogeneous of degree $r \geq 0$ and $x_{j} \not \backslash g$. On the intersection $\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}-H_{i}\right) \cap\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}-H_{j}\right)$ we must have $\frac{f}{x_{i}^{m}}=\frac{g}{x_{j}^{r}}$. This gives $f x_{j}^{r}=g x_{i}^{m}$. But since $i \neq j, x_{j}$ does not divide the right hand side. This forces $r=0$. Similarly $m=0$. So $f=g$ are homogeneous of degree 0 , i.e. they are constants. On the other hand, $\phi$ being a constant on an open set is constant throughout.

## I.3.15

Products of Affine Varieties. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n}$ and $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{m}$ be affine varieties.
(a) Show that $X \times Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n+m}$ with its induced topology is irreducible. [Hint: Suppose that $X \times Y$ is a union of two closed subsets $Z_{1} \cup Z_{2}$. Let $X_{i}=\left\{x \in X \mid x \times Y \subseteq Z_{i}\right\}, i=1,2$. Show that $X=X_{1} \cup X_{2}$ and $X_{1}, X_{2}$ are closed. Then $X=X_{1}$ or $X_{2}$ so $X \times Y=Z_{1}$ or $Z_{2}$. ] The affine variety $X \times Y$ is called the product of $X$ and $Y$. Note that its topology is in general not equal to the product topology (Ex. 1.4).
(a) Show that $A(X \times Y) \cong A(X) \otimes_{k} A(Y)$.
(c) Show that $X \times Y$ is a product in the category of varieties, i.e., show (i) the projections $X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ are morphisms, and (ii) given a variety $Z$, and morphisms $Z \rightarrow X, Z \rightarrow Y$, there is a unique morphism $Z \rightarrow X \times Y$ making a commutative diagram

(c) Show that $\operatorname{dim} X \times Y=\operatorname{dim} X+\operatorname{dim} Y$.
(a)

We follow the hint. Assume first that there is an $x_{0} \in X$ such that $x_{0}$ is
neither in $X_{1}$ nor in $X_{2}$. Then define the sets $Y_{i}=\left\{y \in Y \mid x_{0} \times y \in Z_{i}\right\}$, $i=1,2$. Now $Y=Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}$, and we show that $Y_{1}, Y_{2}$ are closed subsets of $Y$. Fix $i=1,2$. Let us use the notation $(x, y)=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$ for coordinates in $\mathbb{A}^{n+m}$. Let $J_{i}$ be the ideal in $k[y]$ generated by the polynomials of the form $f_{i}\left(x_{0}, y\right)$ for all $f_{i}(x, y)$ belonging to the ideal of $Z_{i}$ in $k[x, y]$. Then the zero set of $J_{i}$ is precisely $Y_{i}$, so $Y_{i}$ is closed. But $Y$ is irreducible, so $Y=Y_{1}$ or $Y_{2}$, which implies that $x_{0}$ is in $X_{1}$ or in $X_{2}$, which is in contradiction to the way $x_{0}$ was chosen. So no such $x_{0}$ can be chosen and $X=X_{1} \cup X_{2}$.

Next we show that $X_{1}$ is closed. Let $J_{1}$ be the ideal in $k[x]$ generated by polynomials $f\left(x, y_{0}\right)$ where $f(x, y)$ is a polynomial vanishing on $Z_{1}$ and $y_{o}$ is a point on $Y$. Then $X_{1}$ is the zero set of $J_{1}$ and is therefore closed. Similarly $X_{2}$ is closed. But $X$ being irreducible either $X=X_{1}$ or $X=X_{2}$. But this implies that either $X \times Y=Z_{1}$ or $X \times Y=Z_{2}$, showing that $X \times Y$ is irreducible.
(b)

Define a map $\phi: A(X) \otimes_{k} A(Y) \rightarrow A(X \times Y)$ as $\phi\left(\sum f_{i}(x) \otimes g_{i}(y)\right)=$ $\sum f_{i}(x) g_{i}(y)$. This is a ring homomorphism. It is onto since $\phi\left(x_{i} \otimes y_{j}\right)=x_{i} y_{j}$ and they generate the ring $A(X \times Y)$. Now let $r$ be the smallest integer such that there exist $F=\sum_{i=1}^{r} f_{i}(x) \otimes g_{i}(y)$ with $\phi(F)=0$. From the minimality of $r$, we see that the $g_{i}$ are not in the ideal of $Y$, so there is a point $y_{0} \in Y$ such that not all $g_{i}\left(y_{0}\right)$ are zero. Assume without loss of generality that $g_{r}\left(y_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{r} g_{i}\left(y_{0}\right) f_{i}(x)=0$ on $X$, and we have $f_{r}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{r-1}\left[g_{i}\left(y_{0}\right) / g_{r}\left(y_{0}\right)\right] f_{i}(x)$. Then we get

$$
F=\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} f_{i} \otimes\left\{g_{i}(y)+\left[g_{i}\left(y_{0}\right) / g_{r}\left(y_{0}\right)\right] g_{r}(y)\right\}
$$

violating the minimality of $r$. This contradiction shows that $\phi$ is injective and hence is an isomorphism.
(c)

Let $\pi_{X}: X \times Y \rightarrow X$ be the projection on the first component. Let $\phi$ be a regular function on $X$. Then $\left(\pi_{X}^{*}(\phi)\right)(x, y)=\left(\phi \circ \pi_{X}\right)(x, y)=\phi(x)$ is a regular function on $X \times Y$, so $\pi_{X}$ is a morphism of varieties. Similarly $\pi_{Y}$ : $X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism. Let $Z$ be a variety with morphisms $p_{X}: Z \rightarrow X$ and $p_{Y}: Z \rightarrow Y$. Define $\phi Z \rightarrow X \times Y$ as $\phi(z)=\left(p_{X}(z), p_{Y}(z) \in X \times Y\right.$. We then have $\left.\pi_{X} \circ \phi\right)(z)=\pi_{X}\left(p_{X}(z), p_{Y}(z)\right)=p_{X}(z)$ and similarly $\pi_{Y} \circ \phi=p_{Y}$,
making the given diagram commutative.
This also follows from the universal property of tensor products if we consider the corresponding maps on the coordinate rings.

## (d)

This follows from the fact that the dimension of a variety is the Krull dimension of its coordinate ring as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} X \times Y=\operatorname{dim} A(X \times Y) & =\operatorname{dim} A(X) \otimes_{k} A(Y) \\
& =\operatorname{dim} A(X)+\operatorname{dim} A(Y)=\operatorname{dim} X+\operatorname{dim} Y
\end{aligned}
$$

## I.3.19

Automorphisms of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{A}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n}$ be a morphism of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ given by $n$ polynomials $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ of $n$ variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Let $J=\operatorname{det}\left|\partial f_{i} / \partial x_{j}\right|$ be the Jacobian polynomial of $\phi$.
(a) If $\phi$ is an isomorphism (in which case we call $\phi$ an automorphismof $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ ) show that $J$ is a nonzero constant polynomial.
**(b) The converse of (a) is an unsolved problem, even for $n=2$. See, for example Vitushkin [1].

## (a)

If $\phi$ is invertible, then the Jacobian matrix is also invertible at every point in $\mathbb{A}^{n}$. Therefore the determinant $J$ of the Jacobian matrix must be nonzero at every point of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$. But $J$ is a polynomial over an algebraically closed field so will have at least one root unless it is a nonzero constant. Hence $J$ is a nonzero constant.
(b)

This is still an unsolved problem at the time of writing, April 2016.

## I.3.20

Let $Y$ be a variety of dimension $\geq 2$, and $P \in Y$ be a normal point. Let $f$ be a regular function on $Y-P$.
(a) Show that $f$ extends to a regular function on $Y$.
(b) Show this would be false for $\operatorname{dim} Y=1$.

See (III, Ex. 3.5) for a generalization.
First we make an observation. Let $A$ be a commutative domain with dimension at least 2 . Then we can find two distinct prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ with $0 \subset \mathfrak{p}_{1} \subset \mathfrak{p}_{2}$. WE can consider $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ as a prime ideal in $A_{\mathfrak{p}_{2}}$. An element of
$\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}_{2}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}$ is of the form

$$
\frac{a / b}{c / d}, \quad \text { where } \quad a, b, c, d \in A, b, d \notin \mathfrak{p}_{2}, c \notin \mathfrak{p}_{1} .
$$

But this can be written as

$$
\frac{a / b}{c / d}=\frac{a d}{b c}, \quad \text { where now we have } \quad b c \notin \mathfrak{p}_{1} .
$$

This shows that every element of $\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}_{2}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}$ can be considered as an element of $A_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}$. Conversely, every element of $A_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}$ is of the form

$$
\frac{a}{b}, \quad \text { where } \quad a, b \in A, b \notin \mathfrak{p}_{1}
$$

Since $1 \notin \mathfrak{p}_{2}$, we can rewrite $a / b$ as $(a / 1) /(b / 1)$ and then it can be considered as an element of $\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}_{2}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}$. Hence the two rings can be considered the same,

$$
\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}_{2}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}=A_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}
$$

which we will use below.
Now let $U$ be any open neighborhood of $P$ in $Y$, and let $\mathcal{O}(U)$ be the ring of regular functions on $U$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{P}$ be the local ring of regular functions at $P$, with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be any prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{P}$ with $0 \subset \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}$. Such prime ideals exist since dimension of $Y$ is $\geq 2$. There exist a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ and a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{O}(U)$ such that $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{p}^{\prime} \mathcal{O}_{P}$ and $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}^{\prime} \mathcal{O}_{P}$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{P}=\mathcal{O}(U)_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}}$, the above observation gives us the identity

$$
\left(\mathcal{O}_{P}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}=\left(\mathcal{O}(U)_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}=\mathcal{O}(U)_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}
$$

Since $P$ is a normal point, the $\operatorname{ring} \mathcal{O}_{P}$ is a noetherian normal domain. Then we have

$$
\mathcal{O}_{P}=\bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \text { is prime } \\ \text { height } \mathfrak{p}=1}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{P}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}
$$

This is Theorem 11.5 on page 81 of Matsumura's Commutative Ring Theory. Combining this with the previous identity we have

$$
\mathcal{O}_{P}=\bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime} \text { is prime and } \\ \text { height } \mathfrak{p}^{\prime}=1}} \mathcal{\mathfrak { p } ^ { \prime } \subset \mathfrak { m } ^ { \prime }} \boldsymbol{O}(U)_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}
$$

Now for any such $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ choose a point $Q$ on $Z\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right)$ other than $P$. Since $f$ is regular at $Q$, there exists polynomials $g$ and $h$ such that $h(Q) \neq 0$ and $f=g / h$ in some neighborhood of $Q$. But $h \notin \mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ since otherwise it would vanish at $Q$ contrary to our choice of $h$. This however says that $f=g / h \in \mathcal{O}(U)_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}$. Since $f$ belongs to every such ring on the right hand side of the above equality, it must belong to $\mathcal{O}_{P}$, which now means that it is regular at $P$.

If the dimension of $Y$ is 1 , then no prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ as above exists so the argument breaks. In fact the conclusion also fails in dimension 1 as the example of $f=1 / x$ on $\mathbb{A}^{1}-0$ shows.

## I.7.1 - Final Take-Home Exam

(a) Find the degree of the $d$-uple embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ (Ex. 2.12). [Answer: $d^{n}$ ]
(b) Find the degree of the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{r} \times \mathbb{P}^{s}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ (Ex. 2.14). [Answer: $\binom{r+s}{r}$ ]
(a)

Let $\phi_{d}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be the $d$-uple embedding. Here $N=\binom{n+d}{n}-1$. Let $P_{n, d}(z)$ be the Hilbert polynomial of $\phi_{d}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)$. If $z$ is an integer, then a monomial of degree $z$ in the variables $y_{0}, \ldots, y_{N}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ pulls back via $\phi_{d}$ to a monomial of degree $z d$ in the variables $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. But the number of such monomials is known, so
$P_{n, d}(z)=\binom{n+z d}{n}=\frac{1}{n!} \prod_{\ell=0}^{n-1}(z d+n-\ell)=\frac{d^{n}}{n!} z^{n}+$ lower degree terms in $z$.
Hence the degree of the $d$-uple embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ is $d^{n}$.
Note that $P_{n, d}(0)=0$, and as we will see in the next exercise, the arithmetic genus of $\phi_{d}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)$ is zero.

As a special case consider the $d$-uple embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ into $\mathbb{P}^{d+1}$. Its Hilbert polynomial is $d z+1$. In particular the Hilbert polynomial of the twisted cubic in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ is $3 z+1$.
(b)

Let $\psi_{r, s}: \mathbb{P}^{r} \times \mathbb{P}^{s} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be the Segre embedding. Here $N=r s+r+s$. Let $\Sigma_{r, s}=\psi_{r, s}\left(\mathbb{P}^{r} \times \mathbb{P}^{s}\right)$. Let $Q_{r, s}(z)$ be the Hilbert polynomial of $\Sigma_{r, s}$. If $z$ is an integer, then a monomial of degree $z$ in the variables $y_{0}, \ldots, y_{N}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ pulls
back via $\psi_{r, s}$ to a monomials of degree $z$ on $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ and a monomial of degree $z$ on $\mathbb{P}^{s}$. But the number of such monomials is known, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{r, s}(z) & =\binom{z+r}{r}\binom{z+s}{s} \\
& =\frac{(z+1)(z+2) \cdots(z+r)}{r!} \cdot \frac{(z+1)(z+2) \cdots(z+s)}{s!} \\
& =\frac{1}{r!s!} z^{r+s}+\cdots+1 \\
& =\frac{\frac{(r+s)!}{r!s!}}{(r+s)!} z^{r+s}+\cdots+1 \\
& =\frac{\binom{r+s}{r}}{(r+s)!} z^{r+s}+\cdots+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that the degree of $\Sigma_{r, s}$ is $\binom{r+s}{r}$.

## I.7.2 - Final Take-Home Exam

Let $Y$ be a variety of dimension $r$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, with Hilbert polynomial $P_{Y}$. We define the arithmetic genus of $Y$ to be $p_{a}(Y)=(-1)^{r}\left(P_{Y}(0)-1\right)$. This is an important invariant which (as we will see later in (III, Ex. 5.3)) is independent of the projective embedding of $Y$.
(a) Show that $p_{a}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)=0$.
(b) If $Y$ is a plane curve of degree $d$, show that $p_{a}(Y)=\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(d-2)$.
(c) More generally, if $H$ is a hypersurface of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, then $p_{a}(H)=$ $\binom{d-1}{n}$.
(d) If $Y$ is a complete intersection (Ex. 2.17) of surfaces of degrees $a, b$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, then $p_{a}(Y)=\frac{1}{2} a b(a+b-4)+1$.
(e) Let $Y^{r} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n}, Z^{s} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{m}$ be projective varieties, and embedd $Y \times Z \subseteq$ $\mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$ by the Segre embedding. Show that

$$
p_{a}(X \times Z)=p_{a}(Y) p_{a}(Z)+(-1)^{s} p_{a}(Y)+(-1)^{r} p_{a}(Z) .
$$

(a)

The Hilbert polynomial of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ is $P_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(\ell)=\binom{\ell+n}{n}=\frac{1}{n!}[\ell+1) \cdots(\ell+n)$ which counts the number of distinct monomials of degree $\ell$ in the variables $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Since $P_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(0)=1$, the arithmetic genus is 0 .
(b)

This is a special case of (c) which we solve below.
(c)

In the proof of Proposition 7.6, page 52, we saw that

$$
P_{H}(z)=\binom{z+n}{n}-\binom{z-d+n}{n}
$$

For the arithmetic genus we need to calculate $P_{H}(0)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{H}(0) & =\binom{n}{n}-\binom{n-d}{n} \\
& =1-\frac{1}{n!}(-d+1)(-d+2) \cdots(-d+n) \\
& =1-\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!}(d-1)(d-2) \cdots(d-n) \\
& =1-(-1)^{n} \frac{(d-1) \cdots(d-n)(d-n-1)!}{n!(d-n-1)!} \\
& =1-(1-)^{n}\binom{d-1}{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the arithmetic genus is $\binom{d-1}{n}=\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!}(d-1)(d-2) \cdots(d-n)$.
Going back to the special case of the (b) part above, putting in $n=2$ gives the required formula for a plane curve of degree $d$.
(d)

Let $Y_{a}$ and $Y_{b}$ are hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degrees $a$ and $b$ respectively with $Y_{a}=Z(f)$ and $Y_{b}=Z(g)$ where $f$ and $g$ are homogeneous polynomials of degrees $a$ and $b$. Assume that $Y=Y_{a} \cap Y_{b}$ is a complete intersection, i.e. the two hypersurfaces $Y_{a}$ and $Y_{b}$ have no common components.

From the proof of Proposition 7.6, on page 52, we know the Hilbert polynomials of $Y_{a}$ and $Y_{b}$; for example

$$
P_{Y_{a}}(z)=\binom{z+n}{n}-\binom{z-a+n}{n} .
$$

We now consider the short exact sequence of graded $S$-modules

$$
0 \longrightarrow(S / f))(-b) \xrightarrow{g} S / f \longrightarrow S /(f, g) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $S$ is the graded polynomial ring $k\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, the first map is multiplication by $g$, and the second map is the natural quotient map. Noting that $S / f$ and $S /(f, g)$ are the projective coordinate rings of $Y_{a}$ and $Y$ respectively, we have from the additivity of the Hilbert function

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{Y}(z) & =P_{Y_{a}}(z)-P_{Y_{a}}(z-b) \\
& =\binom{z+3}{3}-\binom{z-a+3}{3}-\left[\binom{z-b+3}{3}-\binom{z-b-a+3}{3}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the arithmetic genus we need to put $z=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{Y}(0)= & \frac{1}{3!}\left(3!-(-1)^{3}[(a-1)(a-2)(a-3)-(b-1)(b-2)(b-3)\right. \\
& +(a+b-1)(a+b-2)(a+b-3)]) \\
= & 2 a b-\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b-\frac{1}{2} a b^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the arithmetic genus is

$$
p_{a}(Y)=\frac{1}{2} a b(a+b-4)+1
$$

(e)

Let the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{m}$ be given by $\left[x_{0}: \cdots: x_{n}\right]$ and $\left[y_{0}: \cdots: y_{m}\right]$. The Segre embedding maps $\mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}$ into $\mathbb{P}^{N}$, where $N=n m+n+m$, in the following fashion

$$
\left[x_{0}: \cdots: x_{n}\right] \times\left[y_{0}: \cdots: y_{m}\right] \stackrel{\psi}{\mapsto}\left[\cdots: x_{i} y_{j}: \cdots\right]
$$

where we choose the lexicographical ordering for the placement of the $x_{i} y_{j}$. Let the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ be $\left[\cdots: z_{i j}: \cdots\right]$ where $z_{i j}$ occupies the place of $x_{i} y_{i}$ of the Segre embedding.

For any positive integer $r, z_{i j}^{r}=x_{i}^{r} y_{j}^{r}$. It is then clear that any monomial of degree $\ell$ in the variables $z_{i j}$ is the unique product of a monomial of degree $\ell$ in the variables $x_{i}$ with a monomial of degree $\ell$ in the variables $y_{j}$.

The converse works modula $\mathfrak{a}$, where $\mathfrak{a}$ is the ideal of the image of $\mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ under the Segre embedding, see the solution of Ex. I.2.14. This is how it works. Let $p=x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}}$ be a monomial of degree $\ell=a_{0}+\cdots+a_{n}$, and similarly let $q=y_{0}^{b_{0}} \cdots y_{m}^{b_{m}}$ be another monomial of degree $\ell=b_{0}+\cdots+b_{m}$.

Now we describe an algorithm to construct a monomial $M$ of degree $\ell$ in the variables $z_{i j}$ starting with the above monomials $p$ and $q$.

Input $p$ and $q$ as above and initialize $M=1$.
Let $i \geq 0$ be the smallest index such that $a_{i}>0$.
Let $j \geq 0$ be the smallest index such that $b_{j}>0$.
Set $c_{i j}=\min \left\{a_{i}, b_{j}\right\}$.
Replace $M$ by $M \times z_{i j}^{c_{i j}}$.
Replace $p$ by $p / x_{i}^{c_{i j}}$.
Replace $q$ by $q / y_{j}^{c_{i j}}$.
Repeat until $p=1$ or equivalently until $q=1$.
We thus obtain a monomial of degree $\ell$ in the variables $z_{i j}$. Let $M^{\prime}$ be another monomial of degree $\ell$ in the variables $z_{i j}$ such that substituting $x_{i} y_{j}$ for $z_{i j}$ in $M^{\prime}$ gives $p q$. Then $M-M^{\prime}$ vanishes on the image of the Segre embedding and thus is in $\mathfrak{a}$. Therefore they represent the same monomial in the coordinate ring of $\psi\left(\mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}\right)$ which is $k\left[\ldots, z_{i j}, \ldots\right] / \mathfrak{a}$.

We conclude that the number of distinct monomials of degree $\ell$ in the projective coordinate ring of $\psi\left(\mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}\right)$ is the product of the number of monomials of degree $\ell$ on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{m}$. This gives the identity for the Hilbert functions

$$
P_{\psi\left(\mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{m}\right)}(z)=P_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(z) P_{\mathbb{P}^{m}}(z) .
$$

Finally returning to our case, we see that the above arguments work for $Y$, $Z$ and $\psi(Y \times Z)$. Hence we have

$$
P_{\psi(Y \times Z)}(z)=P_{Y}(z) P_{Z}(z)
$$

The above algorithm shows that $P_{\psi(Y \times Z)}(z)=P_{Y \times Z}$. Hence finally we have

$$
P_{Y \times Z}(z)=P_{Y}(z) P_{Z}(z) .
$$

Now we are ready to prove the claim of the exercise. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{a}(Y \times Z) & =(-1)^{r+s}\left(P_{Y \times Z}(0)-1\right) \\
& =(-1)^{r+s}\left(P_{Y}(0) P_{Z}(0)-1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{a}(Y) p_{a}(Z) & +(-1)^{s} p_{a}(Y)+(-1)^{r} p_{a}(Z) \\
& =\left[(-1) 2\left(P_{Y}(0)-1\right)\right]\left[(-1)^{s}\left(P_{Z}(0)-1\right)\right]+(-1)\left[(-1)^{s}\left(P_{Y}(0)-1\right)\right] \\
& +(-1)^{r}\left[(-1)^{s}\left(P_{Z}(0)-1\right)\right] \\
& =(-1)^{r+s}\left(P_{Y}(0) P_{Z}(0)-1\right) \\
& =p_{a}(Y \times Z),
\end{aligned}
$$

as claimed.

